



Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).		Complete if Known	
FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2006		Application Number	09/974,898-Conf. #8944
		Filing Date	October 12, 2001
		First Named Inventor	Kunihiro Miichi
		Examiner Name	C. M. Larose
		Art Unit	2623
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27		Attorney Docket No.	O3020.0279/P279
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT		(\$)	1,715.00

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Money Order	<input type="checkbox"/> None
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please identify): _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deposit Account	Deposit Account Number: <u>04-1073</u> Deposit Account Name: <u>Dickstein Shapiro LLP</u>
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below	<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayments

FEE CALCULATION							
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
		Small Entity		Small Entity		Small Entity	
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES							
							Small Entity
Fee Description							Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)							50
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)							200
Multiple dependent claims							360
Total Claims							
<u>24</u> - 24 = _____ x _____ = _____							
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.							
Indep. Claims							
<u>5</u> - 6 = _____ x _____ = _____							
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.							
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE							
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).							
Total Sheets		Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof		Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	
_____ - 100 = _____		/50	_____ (round up to a whole number) x _____		= _____		
4. OTHER FEE(S)							
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)							
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee							1,400.00
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ...							300.00
8001 Printed copy of patent w/o color							15.00

SUBMITTED BY			
Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Amico	Telephone	(202) 420-2232
		Date	January 19, 2007



Docket No.: O3020.0279/P279
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Kunihiro Miichi et al.

Allowed: October 20, 2006

Application No.: 09/974,898

Confirmation No.: 8944

Filed: October 12, 2001

Art Unit: 2623

For: AN IMAGE COMPARISON
APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR
CHECKING AN IMAGE OF AN
OBJECT AGAINST A STORED
REGISTRATION IMAGE

Examiner: C. M. Larose

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.104(E)**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants have received the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance with the October 20, 2006 Notice of Allowance and Allowability regarding the above-referenced U.S. patent application. Entry of the Statement into the record should not be construed as any agreement with or acquiescence in the reasoning stated by the Examiner. Each of the claims stands on its own merits and is patentable because of the combination it recites and not because of the presence or absence of any one particular element.

The Examiner's Statement was not prepared by Applicants and only contains the Examiner's possible positions in one or more reasons for allowability. Thus, any

interpretation with respect to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be imputed to the Applicants.

Dated: January 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorney for Applicants